#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

: CIVIL ACTION
: No
: JURY TRIAL DEMANDED :

#### **CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

#### I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1. This action has been initiated by Elie Benjamin (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff," unless indicated otherwise) for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA" - 29 U.S.C. 201, et. seq.) and applicable state law(s). Plaintiff asserts herein that he was not paid wages or overtime compensation in accordance with applicable state and federal laws. As outlined *infra*, he is owed well in excess of \$100,000.00, exclusive of costs, legal fees, and other damages.

#### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. 1331, has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims because they arise under a federal law - the FLSA. There is supplemental jurisdiction over

Plaintiff's state-law claims herein because they arise out of the same common nucleus of operative facts as Plaintiff's federal claim(s) set forth in this lawsuit.

- 3. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants' contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendants to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in <u>International Shoe Co. v. Washington</u>, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because all of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district.

#### III. PARTIES

- 5. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
  - 6. Plaintiff is an adult individual, with an address as set forth in the caption.
- 7. Defendant RiteChoice Family Services, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendant RCFS" where referred to individually) is an entity incorporated in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and engaging primarily in the business of providing in-home care for elderly or infirmed individuals.
- 8. Michael Madu (hereinafter "Defendant Madu" where referred to individually) is the President, owner, and primary high-level managing operator of Defendant RCFS."
- 9. At all times relevant herein, Defendants acted by and through their agents, servants and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendants.

2

#### IV. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 10. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 11. Plaintiff was hired by Defendant RCFS in or about mid-May of 2018. And in total, Plaintiff was employed with Defendant for almost 1 year.
- 12. Plaintiff was hired by RCFS, a home-care provider. And Plaintiff was also hired by Defendant Madu, who ultimately oversaw Plaintiff's compensation, work performance, and other terms and conditions of employment.
- 13. Defendant Madu was, and upon information and belief, remains she shareholder, President and highest-level management employee of Defendant RCFS. Defendant Madu holds himself out publicly as: (a) the President and Chief Executive Officer of Defendant RCFS; (b) the President of Chidenso Homes, LLC, a real-estate holding company; (c) having a Master of Business Administration (MBA); and (d) being a Doctor of Business Administration (DBA).
- 14. Defendant Madu is not a neophyte to business operations, and thus the actions described *infra* demonstrate further his knowing, intentional, and deceitful violations of local, state and federal wage and overtime laws.
- 15. In particular, Defendant Madu hires varying levels of staff to work and provide in-home care to third parties with whom he and Defendant RCFS contract. In furtherance of Defendants' business interests, Plaintiff was hired:
  - (A) As a nurse;
  - (B) To provide in-home care; and
  - (C) Plaintiff provided full-time care to a wheel-chair bound patient.

- 16. Defendant Madu collects very significant sums of money through his third-party contracts, but he deliberately skims wages from his own employees, directs and participates falsification of payroll, and cheats employees out of proper and legally required wages and overtime compensation.
- 17. Plaintiff was hired as an hourly employee at \$33.00 per hour. Plaintiff was only ever employed with Defendants during his approximate 1-year tenure as an hourly employee (although his hourly rate was manipulated, discussed more below).
- 18. The following examples and illustration of Defendants' unlawful payroll scheme is irrefutable:
  - (A) Plaintiff worked at least 16 hours per day and 7 days per week (sometimes more) throughout his employment;
  - (B) Plaintiff was paid by Defendants on a bi-weekly basis (every 2 weeks), and he worked at least 224 hours per pay period;
  - (C) Defendants *never* could or would dispute that Plaintiff averaged at least 112 hours per week of work because: (1) they scheduled Plaintiff; (2) they billed for his work; and (3) they identified his worked hours on his payroll;
  - (D) But Defendants instead concocted a scheme to avoid paying employees such as Plaintiff proper overtime compensation that was mandated by state and federal laws;
  - (E) Attached hereto as "Exhibit A" by way of a 1-pay period example is Plaintiff's July 6, 2018 payroll for the 2-week time period ending June 29, 2018;
  - (F) Normally and universally, employees are given a single earnings statement showing all hours worked, all compensation, and any overtime accrued. But to avoid paying legally-mandated overtime, Plaintiff was given 3 separate "Earning Statement[s]" each one reflecting his hourly rate. See "Exhibit A;"
  - (G) Each Earning Statement was given to Plaintiff to avoid outside or governmental detection of non-payment of overtime. Despite having worked 224 hours in a 2-week payroll timeframe, Plaintiff was given <u>3 separate</u> pay stubs wherein 2 of them showed 80-hour work weeks and 1 showed the additional 64 hours *Id.*; and

- (H) As a result of Plaintiff being given 3 separate pay stubs / Earning Statements, it appeared from looking at each one individually as if Plaintiff only worked a maximum of 40 hours per week (unless all were combined for view and context). Thus, Plaintiff was not paid at a rate of time and one half; and instead, was only paid what is commonly referred to as straight time (his same hourly rate despite working overtime).
- 19. While Plaintiff referenced his July 6, 2018 payroll in the preceding paragraph for illustration purposes, Plaintiff was paid in the same unlawful manner during each of Plaintiff's payroll periods throughout his employment.
- 20. However, in the months leading up to Plaintiff's separation from employment which took place in mid-February, Plaintiff had been adamantly expressing concerns about unpaid overtime compensation to his management and Defendants.<sup>1</sup>
- 21. Plaintiff was told point-blank by Defendants' management Defendants do not pay overtime compensation.
- 22. It was obvious even to unsophisticated management or ownership that an hourly employee is supposed to receive time and one half of his hourly wage after 40 hours per week (let alone Defendant Madu who represents having a doctor in business administration and being a CEO multiple companies). Hence, Defendants' intent to violate wage laws simply became even more transparent when segregating hours into multiple pay statements for obvious overtime avoidance.
- 23. Because Plaintiff had become more vocal in his concerns of overtime violations leading up to his constructive discharge (discussed *infra*), Defendants made no effort to actually

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Kasten v. Saint-Gobain Performance Plastics Corp., 563 U.S. 1, 17, 131 S. Ct. 1325, 1336 (2011)(verbal complaints about overtime concerns are protected activities under the FLSA prohibiting retaliation).

compensate Plaintiff properly but instead identified 8 hours of overtime in a pay stub during Plaintiff's December 7, 2018 payroll issuance. In particular:

- (A) "Exhibit B" is a compilation of Plaintiff's pay stubs / Earning Statements for the payroll period ending November 30, 2018; and
- (B) Despite that Plaintiff had worked in excess of a 100 hours of overtime in the pay period, Defendants only identified that Plaintiff worked 8 hours of overtime warranting \$49.50 (his proper overtime payrate) in **one of multiple** paystubs. *Id*.
- 24. The purpose of the 8-hour indication of overtime worked in the December 7, 2018 payroll was ostensibly only to insulate Defendants from a future audit or third-party review by claiming *it paid some* overtime (which might look normal if all separate pay statements were not viewed collectively). It was however absurd, as the payment for overtime therein reflected overtime compensation for less than 10% of actual overtime worked (within said payroll period).
- 25. As a direct result of Plaintiff escalating overtime-related concerns to Defendants, he was told his hourly rate was significantly and unilaterally cut. In Plaintiff's last payroll (for payroll in January of 2019), he had learned that his hourly rate was retaliatorily cut from \$33.00 per hour to \$23.90 per hour without proper notice or consent. *See* January 2019 payroll, attached hereto as "Exhibit C." This constituted a pay reduction of approximately 28% of Plaintiff's typically weekly or bi-weekly compensation.
- 26. Plaintiff resigned because of animosity, threats, and most importantly an approximate 1/3 cut in his compensation by Defendants.<sup>2</sup> Plaintiff was forced to separate under the foregoing circumstances, *constituting constructive discharge*.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See e.g. Bartolon-Perez v. Island Granite & Stone, 108 F. Supp. 3d 1335, 1340 (S.D. Fla. 2015)(constructive discharge is actionable under the FLSA); Traweek v. Glob. Sols. & Logistics LLC, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 98098, at \*18 (N.D. Ala. 2015)(a substantial pay reduction constitutes a viable FLSA retaliation, constructive-discharge claim); Tellez v. Primetals Techs. United States, LLC, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 188061, at \*7 n.3 (D.S.C. 2018)(denying motion to dismiss concerning defendant's assertion for lack of evidence of FLSA constructive discharge); Ford v. Alfaro, 785 F.2d 835, 841 (9th Cir. 1986)(a plaintiff may pursue an FLSA retaliatory discharge claim, as this is the same protection under all similar employment statutes)

- 27. Plaintiff's damages and entitlements because of Defendants' forgoing actions are as follows:
  - (1) Plaintiff worked at least 3,081 hours of overtime. He was only paid at a rate of \$33.00 per hour for each hour of overtime, which was his regular straight-time rate. Plaintiff was not paid at his proper overtime rate of \$49.50 per hour, and he was therefore denied \$16.50 per hour for every overtime hour worked. Plaintiff is thus owed at least \$50,836.50 in unpaid overtime compensation.
  - (2) The sum of owed overtime to Plaintiff, which totals \$50,836.50, must be *automatically* doubled for liquidated damages.<sup>4</sup> In total without other consideration of interest or costs, Plaintiff is owed <u>at least \$101,673.00</u>.
  - (3) Plaintiff had his wages retroactively reduced without consent, constituting a violation of the Pennsylvania Wage and Collection Law(s), which also permits liquidated damages (as to Plaintiff's last paycheck).
  - (4) And Plaintiff is entitled to all past or future lost wages, compensatory damages, and punitive damages for his constructive discharge by Defendants.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Penn. State Police v. Suders, 542 U.S. 129, 134 (2004)(stating that "an extreme cut in pay" can provide a basis for alleging constructive discharge); Murtha v. Forest Elec. Corp., 1992 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 10476, at \*10 (E.D. Pa. 1992)(refusing to vacate a jury verdict and explaining that pay or benefit reductions can constitute a constructive discharge claim); Traweek v. Glob. Sols. & Logistics LLC, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 98098, at \*18 (N.D. Ala. 2015)(a substantial pay reduction constitutes a viable FLSA retaliation, constructive-discharge claim).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See e.g. Solis v. Min Fang Yang, 345 Fed. Appx. 35 (6th Cir. 2009)(Affirming award of liquidated damages explaining "under the Act, liquidated damages are compensation, not a penalty or punishment, and no special showing is necessary for the awarding of such damages. Rather, they are considered the norm and have even been referred to by this court as mandatory."); Gayle v. Harry's Nurses Registry, Inc., 594 Fed. Appx. 714, 718 (2d Cir. 2014)(Affirming award of liquidated damages explaining there is an automatic "presumption" of liquidated damages and "double damages are the norm, single damages the exception," as the burden to avoid liquidated damages is a "difficult burden."); Haro v. City of Los Angeles, 745 F.3d 1249 (9th Cir. 2014)(Affirming award of liquidated damages explaining they are the "norm" and "mandatory" unless the employer can establish the very "difficult burden" of subjective and objective attempts at FLSA compliance); Chao v. Barbeque Ventures, LLC, 547 F.3d 938, 942 (8th Cir. 2008)(Affirming award of liquidated damages explaining that the employer mistakenly argues its non-compliance was not willful, misunderstanding the high burden to show affirmative steps of attempted compliance and research of the FLSA and separately that its diligence and belief in non-payment of overtime was also objectively reasonable.); Chao v. Hotel Oasis, Inc., 493 F.3d 26 (1st Cir. 2007)(Affirming award of liquidated damages explaining that they will always be considered the "norm" in FLSA cases); Lockwood v. Prince George's County, 2000 U.S. App. LEXIS 15302 (4th Cir. 2000)(Affirming award of liquidated damages explaining they are the "norm" and that an employer may not take an ostrich-like approach and refuse to research its obligations under the FLSA and to objectively explain why it failed to comply with the FLSA); Uphoff v. Elegant Bath, Ltd., 176 F.3d 399 (7th Cir. 1999)(Reversing the district court for not awarding liquidated damages, as doubling unpaid overtime is the rule, not an exception); Nero v. Industrial Molding Corp., 167 F.3d 921 (5th Cir. 1999)(Affirming award of liquidated damages, as there is a presumption of entitlement to liquidated damages which are the norm).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Jones v. Amerihealth Caritas, 95 F. Supp. 3d 807, 818 (E.D. Pa. 2015)(FLSA retaliation claims permit the recovery of damages for emotional distress, punitive damages, and other economic losses).

28. Defendant Madu oversaw business operations, was a high-level manager and owner, condoned and perpetuated unlawful pay to employees through continued payroll falsification schemes, and exercised full control over personnel and compensation. He is thus also personally liable for such claims herein.<sup>6</sup>

## Count I <u>Violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA")</u> (Failure to Pay Overtime) - Against Both Defendants -

- 29. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 30. Plaintiff was not properly paid for all owed overtime as explained *supra*. And such actions constitute indefensible violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA").

## Count II <u>Violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA")</u> (Retaliation) - Against Both Defendants -

- 31. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 32. Plaintiff was constructively terminated due to animosity, threats, and a substantial reduction in his compensation as a direct, actual and proximate cause of his complaints of overtime violations.
  - 33. Such actions as aforesaid constitute unlawful retaliation under the FLSA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See e.g. Haybarger v. Lawrence Cty. Adult Prob. & Parole, 667 F.3d 408, 414 (3d Cir. 2012)(managers and corporate officers exercising control over wages are appropriate defendants under the FLSA and may be individually liable for such violations).

### Count III <u>Violations of the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act ("PMWA")</u> (Failure to Pay Overtime Compensation) - Against Both Defendants -

- 34. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 35. Plaintiff was not properly paid for all owed overtime as explained *supra*. And such actions constitute indefensible violations of the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act ("PMWA").

## Count IV <u>Violations of the Pennsylvania Wage & Collection Law(s)</u> (Failure to Pay Full Wage(s) Owed) - Against Both Defendants -

- 36. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 37. Defendants unilaterally reduced Plaintiff's hourly rate in the midst of him performing work without adequate notice, a defined rate agreed upon, and unilaterally without Plaintiff's consent. Plaintiff was thus not properly paid his hourly rate as assured, previously agreed upon, and contractually owed in his last payroll check.
- 38. These actions as aforesaid constitute indefensible violations of the Pennsylvania Wage and Collection Law(s).

#### WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:

- A. Defendants are to promulgate and adhere to a policy prohibiting wage and overtime and wage violations;
- B. Defendants are to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff, and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for

Defendants' wrongful actions, including but not limited to all owed wages, overtime, and other

applicable compensation;

C. Plaintiff is to be awarded actual damages to which he legally entitled beyond

those already specified herein;

Plaintiff is to be awarded liquidated and/or punitive damages as permitted by

applicable law;

D.

E. Plaintiff is to be accorded other equitable relief as the Court deems just, proper,

and appropriate (including but not limited to emotional distress or other such damages);

F. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and a reasonable

attorney's fees if permitted by applicable law; and

G. Plaintiff is permitted to have a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, P.C.

Ari R. Karpf, Esquire 3331 Street Road

Building 2, Suite 128 Bensalem, PA 19020

(215) 639-0801

Dated: February 22, 2019

## Exhibit A

### Case 2:19-cv-00776-GEKP Document 1 Filed 02/22/19 Page 12 of 24

Company Code Loc RK / 7RF 21724155 01/ RiteChoice

Loc/Dept Number Page 1 of 1

**Earnings Statement** 



7248 Elmwood Ave Philadelphia,PA 19142

Period Starting: Period Ending: Pay Date:

06/16/2018 06/29/2018 07/06/2018

Taxable Marital Status:

Exemptions/Allowances: Federal: State: Local: Social Security Number: Single Tax Override: Federal: State: Local: XXX-XX-XXXX

Elie J Benjamin 3959 Welsh Road Apt145 Willow Grove, PA 19090

Earnings	rate hours/units	this period	year to date
Regular	33.0000 80.00	2640,00	20592.00
	Gross Pay	\$2,640.00	\$25,344.00
		Degan geli Ditantiti i e 🗀	and the second control of

Statutory Deductions	. a. a. the second	this	period	year to date
Federal Income		<b>⊬2</b>	87.97	2215.75
Social Security		+1	63.68	1276.70
Medicare	r water		38.28	298.58
Pennsylvania State Incor	ne .		81.05	632.19
Pennsylvania State UI			-1.58	12.35
Phila NR Local Income	la esta la certifici La companya de la co		91.49	713.62
Net Pay Adjustments		into .		عاميعا بالمستفاد
The section of the Control of the Co		res tina i	period	year to date
*Misc raimbursement		레일랜드 호텔	0.00	2284.41
en la compresa de la La compresa de la co		<u> </u>	<u> 144 - 60 - 60</u>	
Net Pay		\$1,9	75.95	

Information		 1	C. Contin	this	perio	od /	12.676	year	to d	até
Total Hours Worked	j	 100	10000	10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	80.	ŐØ.			768	.00
	100	31 s 4 3	200	116. SH 131. SH		38.	11	<i>(</i>	. 9.	4
	11 		and f	11 10		Šį.	1			
Deposits	1911	10	um I	17 / 1866 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	ar ill	100	i ili	300	Juga	Accest.
account number	1.51			trans	sit/AE	Α	e Wart Orași e Liligio		amo	unt
XXXXXX7189					ŶŶŶ			1	975	95

Your federal taxable wages this period are \$2,640.00 • Excluded from Federal taxable wages

BiteChoice 7248 Elmwood Ave Philadelphia, RA 19142

Pay Date

07/06/2018

Deposited to the account

Checking DirectDeposit

transit/ABA XXXXXXXX

amount 1975.95

Elie J Benjamin 3959 Welsh Road Apt145 Willow Grove, PA 19090

© 1998, 2006. ADP, LLC All Rights Reserved.

Case 2:19-cv-00776-GEKP Document 1 Filed 02/22/19 Page 13 of 24

Company Code RK / 7RF 21724155 RiteChoice

<u>Loc/Dept</u> <u>Number</u> <u>Page</u> <u>1 of 1</u>

**Earnings Statement** 

7248 Elmwood Ave Philadelphia,PA 19142 Period Starting: Period Ending: Pay Date:

06/16/2018 06/29/2018 07/06/2018

Taxable Marital Status: Exemptions/Allowances: Federal:

State: Local: 0 Social Security Number: Single Tax Override: Federal: State: Local: XXX-XX-XXXX

Elie J Benjamin 3959 Welsh Road Apt145 Willow Grove, PA 19090

Earnings	agrammes rate hours/units	this period	year to date
Regular	33.0000 80.00	2640.00	23232,00
	Gross Pay	\$2,640.00	\$25,344.00
	Statutory Deductions	Contraction of the March Co.	year to date
	Federal Income Social Security Medicara	-287,97 -163,68 -38,28	2503.72 1440.38 336.86
	Pennsylvania State Income Pennsylvania State Ul Phila NR Local Income	-81.05 $-1.59$ $-91.49$	713.24 13.94 805.11
	Net Pay Adjustments	this period	year to date
	*Misc reimbursement	0.00	2284.4 <u>1</u>
	MANAPAY TO CAMPAN TAKET	\$1,975.94	· 有事的对象的数学等表

Other Benefits and	Carrier St. Charles	and the control of the	and the accordance
Information	The second second	this period	year to date
Total Hours Worked		80.00	
	Commission of the Commission o	1. 3 4 1. 1. 16 23 1. 3 4 1. 1. 16 23	
· 1985 ·	The state of the lite		
VAR THE WEST OF THE STAND	4. 您都怎么哈佛。这点说:	to be until 1 to	
■ 24. (2) (6. (2.20) (2.00)	all the state of the second	8 3 2 20 27 27 3	timate to the second
account number		tranelt/ABA	amaint
the second of the first of the second of the contract of the second of t	The demonstrate with the con-	Hallswall	amount
XXXXXX7189	roren del productore Medicales	XXXXXXXX	1975.94
CHARLELLARY AGENTALS	e bar 19 Jan (1975) - Eug Die 1 21 Gebeurg der 196 Bet 196	Yes Jack Star	

Your federal taxable wages this period are: \$2,640.00. \* Excluded from Federal laxable wages.

RiteOhoice 7248 Élmwood Ave Philadelphia; PA 19142

Pay Date:

07/06/2018

Deposited to the account

Chécking DirectDeposit

transit/ABA XXXXXXXX

1975.94

Elie J Benjamin 3959 Welsh Road Api145 Willow Grove, PA 19090

amount

© 1998, 2006, ADP, LLC. All Rinhfs Reserved

### Case 2:19-cv-00776-GEKP Document 1 Filed 02/22/19 Page 14 of 24

Company Code RK / 7RF 21724155 RiteChoice

Loc/Dept Number Page 4579725 1 of 1

**Earnings Statement** 

7248 Elmwood Ave Philadelphia,PA 19142

Period Starting: Period Ending: Pay Date:

06/16/2018 06/29/2018 07/06/2018

Taxable Marital Status: Exemptions/Allowances: Federal: 3 State: 0 Local: 0
Social Security Number:

Single Tax Override: Federal: State: Local: XXX-XX-XXXX

Elie J Benjamin 3959 Weish Road Apt145 Willow Grove, PA 19090

Earnings	rate hours/units	this period	year to date
Regular	33.0000 64.00	2112.00	25344.00
		<u> </u>	
	Gross Pay	\$2,112.00	\$25,344.00

Statutory Deductions this period	year to date
Federal Income   -171.81	2675.53 1571.33 367.49 778.08
Phila NR Local Income -73.19  Net Pay Adjustments this period	15.21 878.30 year to date
*Misc reimbursement 0.00	2284.41

Other Benefits and Information	. priii ain	this period	year to date
Total Hours Worked	i y	64.00	768,00
			The South
	1. Marie 1997	the stand of the	
Deposits account number	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	transit/ABA	amount
XXXXXX7189	X	XXXXXXX	1639.31

Your federal taxable wages this period are \$2,112.00 \* Excluded from Federal taxable wages

RiteChoice 7248 Eimwood Ave Philadelphia, PA 19142

Pay Date:

07/05/2018

Deposited to the account

Checking DirectDeposit

transit/ABA XXXXXXXX

amount 1639.31

Elie J Benjamin 3959 Welsh Road Apt145 Willow Grove, PA 19090

© 1998, 2006. ADP, LLC All Rights Reserved.

## Exhibit B

Company Code Loc RK / 7RF 21724155 01/ Loc/Dept RiteChoice 7248 Elmwood Ave

Philadelphia, PA 19142

Number Page 1 of 1

**Earnings Statement** 

Period Starting: Period Ending: Pay Date:

11/17/2018 11/30/2018 12/07/2018

Taxable Marital Status:

Social Security Number:

Exemptions/Allowances: Federal: State: Local: 0

Single Tax Override: Federal: State: Local: XXX-XX-XXXX

Elie J Benjamin 3959 Welsh Road Apt145 Willow Grove, PA 19090

Earnings	rate	hours/units	this period	year to date
Regular Overtime	33.0000	80.00	2640.00 0.00	109296.00 396.00
	Gross Pay		\$2,640.00	\$117,612.00
	Statutory Deduc	fions	this period	year to date
	Pennsylvania St	Social Security		11308.58 6776.35 1584.79 3355.47 65.58 3781.01
	Voluntary Deduc	tions	this period	year to date
	Advance	Advance		4728.00
	Net Pay Adjustm	nents	this period	year to date
	*Misc reimburse	ment	0.00	2284.41
	Net Pav		\$416.18	

Other Benefits and Information	this period	year to date
Total Hours Worked	80.00	3560.00
Deposits account number	transit/ABA	amount
XXXXXX7932	XXXXXXXXX	416.18

Your federal taxable wages this period are \$2,640.00 \* Excluded from Federal taxable wages

RiteChoice 7248 Elmwood Ave Philadelphia, PA 19142

Pay Date:

12/07/2018

Deposited to the account

Checking DirectDeposit

transit/ABA

amount

XXXXXXXX

416.18

#### Case 2:19-cv-00776-GEKP Document 1 Filed 02/22/19 Page 17 of 24

Company Code RK / 7RF 21724155 01/ RiteChoice 7248 Elmwood Ave Philadelphia, PA 19142

Number Page 5023098 1 of 1

**Earnings Statement** 



Period Starting: Period Ending: Pay Date:

11/17/2018 11/30/2018 12/07/2018

Taxable Marital Status:

Single

Exemptions/Allowances:
Federal: 3
State: 0
Local: 0

Social Security Number:

Tax Override:
Federal:
State:
Local:
XXX-XX-XXXX

Elie J Benjamin 3959 Welsh Road Apt145 Willow Grove, PA 19090

Earnings	rate	hours/units	this period	year to date
Regular Overtime	33.0000	80.00	2640.00 0.00	111936.00 396.00
	Gross Pay		\$2,640.00	\$117,612.00

Statutory Deductions	this period	year to date
Federal Income Social Security Medicare Pennsylvania State Income Pennsylvania State Ut Phila NR Local Income	-287.97 -163.68 -38.28 -81.05 -1.58 -91.26	11596.55 6940.03 1623.07 3436.52 67.16 3872.27
Voluntary Deductions	this period	year to date
Advance	0.00	4728.00
Net Pay Adjustments	this period	year to date
*Misc reimbursement	0.00	2284.41
Net Pay	\$1,976.18	

Other Benefits and Information	this period	year to date
Total Hours Worked	80.00	3560.00
Deposits account number	transit/ABA	amount
XXXXXX7932	XXXXXXXXX	1976.18

Your federal taxable wages this period are \$2,640.00 \* Excluded from Federal taxable wages

RiteChoice 7248 Elmwood Ave Philadelphia, PA 19142

Pay Date:

12/07/2018

Deposited to the account

Checking DirectDeposit

transit/ABA amount

1976.18

Loc/Dept RK/7RF 21724155 017 RiteChoice 7248 Elmwood Ave Philadelphia, PA 19142

Number Page 1 of 1

**Earnings Statement** 

Other Benefits and

Period Starting: Period Ending: Pay Date:

11/17/2018 11/30/2018 12/07/2018

Taxable Marital Status:

Exemptions/Allowances: Federal: State: Local: n Social Security Number:

Single Tax Override: Federal: State: Local: XXX-XX-XXXX

Elie J Benjamin 3959 Welsh Road Apt145 Willow Grove, PA 19090

Earnings	rate ho	urs/units	this period	year to date
Regular Overtime	33.0000	80.00	2640.00 0.00	114576.00 396.00
	Gross Pay		\$2,640.00	\$117,612.00
	Statutory Deduction	18	this period	year to date
	Federal Income Social Security Medicare		-287.97 -163.68 -38.28	11884.52 7103.71 1661.35
	Pennsylvania State Income Pennsylvania State UI Phila NR Local Income		-81.05 -1.59 -91.26	3517.57 68.75 3963.53
	Voluntary Deduction	ns	this period	vear to date

oss Pay	\$2,640.00	\$117,612.00
Statutory Deductions	this period	year to date
Federal Income Social Security Medicare Pennsylvania State Income Pennsylvania State UI Phila NR Local Income	-287.97 -163.68 -38.28 -81.05 -1.59 -91.26	11884.52 7103.71 1661.35 3517.57 68.75 3963.53
Voluntary Deductions	this period	year to date
Advance	0.00	4728.00
Net Pay Adjustments	this period	year to date
*Misc reimbursement	0.00	2284.41

\$1,976.17

Information	this period	year to date
Total Hours Worked	80.00	3560.00
Deposits account number	transit/ABA	amount
XXXXX7932	XXXXXXXXX	1976.17
		•

Your federal taxable wages this period are \$2,640.00 \* Excluded from Federal taxable wages

RiteChoice 7248 Elmwood Ave Philadelphia, PA 19142

Net Pay

Pay Date:

12/07/2018

Deposited to the account

Checking DirectDeposit

transit/ABA

amount

XXXXXXXX

. 1976,17

#### Case 2:19-cv-00776-GEKP Document 1 Filed 02/22/19 Page 19 of 24

Company Code Loc RK / 7RF 21724155 01/ RiteChoice 7248 Elmwood Ave

Loc/Dept

Number Page 1 of 1

**Earnings Statement** 



Philadelphia, PA 19142

Period Starting: Period Ending: Pay Date:

11/17/2018 11/30/2018 12/07/2018

Taxable Marital Status:

Exemptions/Allowances: Federal: 3 State: Local:

Social Security Number:

Single Tax Override: Federal: State: Local: XXX-XX-XXXX

Elie J Benjamin 3959 Welsh Road Apt145 Willow Grove, PA 19090

**Earnings** hours/units this period year to date Regular Overtime 33.0000 80.00 2640.00 117216.00 49.5000 8.00 396.00 396.00 **Gross Pay** \$3,036.00 \$117,612.00

Statutory Deductions	this period	year to date
Federal Income	-375.09	12259.61
Social Security	-188.23	7291.94
Medicare	-44.02	1705.37
Pennsylvania State Income	-93.21	3610.78

-93.21

3610.78 70.57 4068.48

year to date 4728.00 year to date 2284.41

Net Pay	\$2,228.68
*Misc reimbursement	0.00
Net Pay Adjustments	this period
Advance	0.00
Voluntary Deductions	this period
Phila NR Local Income	-104.95

Information	this period	year to date
Total Hours Worked	88.00	3560.00
Deposits account number	transit/ABA	amount
XXXXXX7932	XXXXXXXXX	2228.68

Your federal taxable wages this period are \$3,036.00 \* Excluded from Federal taxable wages

RiteChoice 7248 Elmwood Ave Philadelphia, PA 19142

Pay Date:

12/07/2018

Deposited to the account

Checking DirectDeposit

Account number XXXX 9932

transit/ABA XXXXXXXX

amount 2228.68

# Exhibit C

01365 Company Code Loc RK / 7RF 21724155 01/ RiteChoice Loc/Dept 7248 Elmwood Ave

Philadelphia, PA 19142

Number Page 1 of 1

#### **Earnings Statement**

Period Starting: Period Ending: Pay Date:

XXXXXX7932

01/26/2019 02/08/2019 02/15/2019

Taxable Marital Status:

Exemptions/Allowances: Federal: 3 State: Local: 0 Social Security Number:

Single Tax Override: Federal: State: Local: XXX-XX-XXXX

Elie J Benjamin 3959 Welsh Road Apt145 Willow Grove, PA 19090

Earnings	rate hours/units this period	year to date
Regular Overtime	23.9000 80.00 1912.00 35.8500 256.00 9177.60	30325.00 9177.60
Bonus	0.00	500.00
	Gross Pay \$11,089.60	\$40,002.60

		T-47,411
	Statutory Deductions this period year to	date
4	Federal Income -2706.49 567	76.51
1	Social Security = 687.55 248	80.16
		80.04
		28.10
		24.00
١	Phila NR Local Income -383, 33 138	82.79
ì		
	Not Dev.	

	Other Benefits and Information	er gjerring. Letter som e	this period	year to date
٠	Total Hours Worked	1 1 1	336,00	1197.00
		San Sant	A Sand Sand	
	Deposits account number	Temper	transit/ABA	amount

XXXXXXXX

6804.33

Your federal taxable wages this period are \$11,089.60

7248 Elmwood Ave Philadelphia, PA 19142

Pay Date:

02/15/2019

Deposited to the account

Checking DirectDeposit

transit/ABA XXXXXXXX

amount 6804.33

Elie J Benjamin 3959 Welsh Road Apt145 Willow Grove, PA 19090

© 1998, 2006. ADP, LLC All Rights Reserved.

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Elie Louis-Benjamir	n, Jr.	•	CIVIL ACTION	
v.		:		
Ritechoice Family Services, Inc. d/b/a	Ritchoice, et al.	;	NO.	٠.
plaintiff shall complete a C filing the complaint and ser side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant	ase Management ve a copy on all de event that a defe shall, with its firs arties, a Case Man	Track Designation of the Track Designation of the Track Trac	Reduction Plan of this court, counse nation Form in all civil cases at the time § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the renot agree with the plaintiff regarding a submit to the clerk of court and servack Designation Form specifying the med.	ne of verse said ve on
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING C.	ASE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases	brought under 28	3 U.S.C. § 22	.41 through § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security - Cases and Human Services de	requesting review enying plaintiff Sc	w of a decision of a decision of a decirity	on of the Secretary of Health Benefits.	( )
(c) Arbitration - Cases req	uired to be design	ated for arbit	tration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )
(d) Asbestos – Cases involence exposure to asbestos.	ving claims for pe	ersonal injury	or property damage from	( )
(e) Special Management – commonly referred to a the court. (See reverse management cases.)	is complex and th	at need speci	al or intense management by	()
(f) Standard Management	- Cases that do no	ot fall into an	y one of the other tracks.	<b>(</b> X )
2/22/2019		>-	Plaintiff	
Date	Attorney-	at-law	Attorney for	
(215) 639-0801	(215) 639-49	970	akarpf@karpf-law.com	
Telephone	FAX Nun	iber	E-Mail Address	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

### Case 2:19-cv-00776-GEKP Document 1 Filed 02/22/19 Page 23 of 24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: 3959 Welsh Road, Apt 145, Willow Grove, PA 19090					
Address of Defendant: 7248 Elmwood Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19142					
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendants place of business					
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:					
Case Number: Judge: Date Terminated:					
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions:					
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year  yes  No X					
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit yes No X pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?					
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?					
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights  Yes  No X					
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.					
DATE: 2/22/2019 ARK2484 / 91538  Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)					
Анотеу-ас-Law / Pro Se Plaintijj Анотеу 1.D. # (у аррисиоле)					
CIVIL: (Place a √in one category only)					
A. Federal Question Cases:  B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:					
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts □ 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts   2. FELA □ 2. Airplane Personal Injury   3. Jones Act-Personal Injury □ 3. Assault, Defamation   4. Antitrust □ 4. Marine Personal Injury   5. Patent □ 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   6. Labor-Management Relations □ 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):   7. Civil Rights □ 7. Products Liability   8. Habeas Corpus □ 8. Products Liability - Asbestos   9. Securities Act(s) Cases □ 9. All other Diversity Cases   10. Social Security Review Cases □ 9. All other Diversity Cases   11. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts   12. Airplane Personal Injury   4. Marine Personal Injury   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):    The products Liability - Asbestos  The products Liabil					
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION					
(The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)  L. Ari R. Karpf , counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:					
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case					
exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.					
DATE: 2/22/2019 ARK2484 / 91538 Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)					
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.					

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

#### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANT	S		
LOUIS-BENJAMIN,	JR., ELIE		RITECHOICE FAMILY SERVICES, INC. d/b/a RITECHOICE, et al		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Montgomery (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philadelphia  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
Karpf, Karpf & Cerutti,	Address, and Telephone Number) P.C.; 3331 Street Road, Two Greenwood 19020; (215) 639-0801; akarpf@karp	Attorneys (If Known) od Square, f-law.com	)		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF F	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif	
i U.S. Government Plaintiff	22		PTF DEF 1 l Incorporated or Prin of Business In T	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF cipal Place 4 4	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and Proof Business In A		
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	6 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)	FORFEITUREPENALTY		of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATIOTIES	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Personal Injury Product Liability 320 Pharmaceutical	RY 0 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 690 Other  RTY LABOR  7 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 720 Labor/Management Relations 0 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 790 Other Labor Litigation 0 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	422 Appeal 28 USC 158   423 Withdrawal   28 USC 157   PROPERTYRIGHTS	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC □ 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	moved from   3 Remanded from		erred from 0 6 Multidistri		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a	(specify, tre filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statu		- Litigation - Direct File	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.		CHECK YES only i JURY DEMAND:	f demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	C(S) (See instructions): JUDGE	(See instructions):  JUDGEDOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 2/22/2019	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT # AM	10UNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	GE_	

Print

Save As...

Reset